

ARTICLE

Received 27 Sep 2012 | Accepted 12 Apr 2013 | Published 21 May 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2878

Direct atomic-scale confirmation of three-phase storage mechanism in $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ anodes for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries

Yang Sun^{1,*}, Liang Zhao^{1,*}, Huilin Pan^{1,*}, Xia Lu¹, Lin Gu², Yong-Sheng Hu¹, Hong Li¹, Michel Armand¹, Yuichi Ikuhara^{3,4,5}, Liquan Chen¹ & Xuejie Huang¹

Room-temperature sodium-ion batteries attract increasing attention for large-scale energy storage applications in renewable energy and smart grid. However, the development of suitable anode materials remains a challenging issue. Here we demonstrate that the spinel Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, well-known as a 'zero-strain' anode for lithium-ion batteries, can also store sodium, displaying an average storage voltage of 0.91 V. With an appropriate binder, the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrode delivers a reversible capacity of 155 mAh g⁻¹ and presents the best cyclability among all reported oxide-based anode materials. Density functional theory calculations predict a three-phase separation mechanism, $2\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} + 6\text{Na}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_7\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} + \text{Na}_6\text{Li}\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$, which has been confirmed through *in situ* synchrotron X-ray diffraction and advanced scanning transmission electron microscope imaging techniques. The three-phase separation reaction has never been seen in any insertion electrode materials for lithium- or sodium-ion batteries. Furthermore, interfacial structure is clearly resolved at an atomic scale in electrochemically sodiated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ for the first time via the advanced electron microscopy.

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 4:1870 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2878 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

¹ Key Laboratory for Renewable Energy, Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. ² Laboratory for Advanced Materials & electron Microscopy, Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. ³ WPI Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan. ⁴ Institute of Engineering Innovation, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8654, Japan. ⁵ Nanostructures Research Laboratory, Japan Fine Ceramic Centre, Nagoya 456-8587, Japan. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.G. (email: l.gu@aphy.iphy.ac.cn) or to Y.-S.H. (email: yshu@iphy.ac.cn).

n the energy storage field, lithium-ion batteries have been investigated substantially in the past few decades and used widely in many aspects of our society¹. However, one shall always be prepared for the exhaustion of lithium resource should lithium-ion battery-based electric vehicle technology be applied in large scale as expected in the near future^{2,3}. As an alternative technology, room-temperature sodium-ion batteries, which were originally investigated in parallel with lithium-ion batteries, have again aroused interest recently for large-scale stationary energy storage in the applications of renewable energy and smart grid because of their low cost and the infinite sodium resources^{2,4-7}. Recent investigations on sodium-ion batteries were mainly focused on the cathode materials, for example, Na_xCoO_2 (refs 8,9), NaCrO₂ (refs 10,11), Na_xMnO₂ (ref. 12), NaNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ (ref. 13), $NaNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Fe_{1/3}O_2$ (ref. 14), P2-type $Na_{2/3}$ $[M_xMn_{1-x}]O_2$ (refs 15,16), $Na_{1.0}Li_{0.2}Ni_{0.25}Mn_{0.75}O_{\delta}$ (ref. 17), Na₂MPO₄F (ref. 2), Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃/C (refs 18,19), and so on. In contrast, very few anode materials were reported to be viable^{5,20}. Among the limited number of anode materials^{13,21-29}, hard carbon is the only candidate possessing both high storage capacity and good cycling^{13,23}. However, as the sodium storage voltage in hard carbon is relatively low and near zero versus Na⁺/Na, this would result in sodium metal deposition on its surface in an improper operation or during fast charging, giving rise to major safety concern. The other interesting electrode material is Na2Ti3O7, but with a storage voltage at 0.2 V, the risk of sodium plating is the same; besides the material shows hysteresis and the cycling performance is not satisfied²⁴. Therefore, it is desirable to develop other new anode materials with relatively high storage voltage.

We have reported spinel Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, which is well known as a 'zero-strain' anode material for long-life stationary lithium-ion batteries^{30,31}, as anode material for room-temperature sodium-ion battery³². These preliminary results show an average storage voltage at ca. 0.9 V and a reversible capacity of 145 mAh g⁻¹. Compared with hard carbon, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ sacrifices the energy density to some extent, but the relatively high storage voltage versus sodium metal makes it intrinsically much safer than hard carbon. As can be seen from the *ex situ* X-ray diffraction (XRD) result in our previous report³², several new peaks corresponding to the peaks of Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ but with lower diffraction angles appear during the sodium insertion process; by a rough calculation we found that a new cubic structure with larger lattice parameters (ca. 13% volume expansion compared with Li₄Ti₅O₁₂) is formed.

Herein, we further show that Li4Ti5O12 can exhibit excellent sodium storage performance in an optimized condition for sodium-ion batteries. By using appropriate binders, the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrode displays the best cyclability among the existing oxidebased anode material for sodium-ion batteries. It shows a stable specific capacity of 155 mAh g^{-1} with coulombic efficiency >99%. With the help of density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we predict a three-phase separation mechanism. Then the three-phase separation reaction is confirmed via in situ synchrotron XRD measurement and advanced scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) imaging techniques. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a three-phase reaction has been found among the insertion electrodes for Li-/ Na-ion batteries. Moreover, the interfacial structure among three phases with sharp phase boundaries is clearly resolved at atomic scale in the electrochemically sodiated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂.

Results

Sodium storage performance. To overcome the capacity fading resulting from volume expansion of insertion material, we tried to optimize the composite electrode by using high tensile-strength

binders, sodium alginate (NaAlg) or carboxymethyl-cellulose sodium (Na-CMC), both of which were used to improve the cyclic performance of the Li-ion battery electrode materials with large volume expansion, for example, nano-Si anode^{33,34}. An advantage for future green processing, these polymers are water soluble. Figure 1a shows the initial discharge and charge curves of polyvinylidene difluoride (PVdF)-, NaAlg- and Na-CMC-based electrodes cycled in a novel NaFSI/EC:DEC electrolyte. The NaAlg electrode displays a relatively low discharge capacity (159 mAh g^{-1}) and a coulombic efficiency of 75.1%, while the Na-CMC electrode exhibits a high discharge capacity of 170 mAh g^{-1} and a coulombic efficiency of 81.3%. The low coulombic efficiency could be attributed to the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase layer on the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ nano-particles (Supplementary Fig. S1). Figure 1b,c illustrates the cyclic performance and coulombic efficiencies of Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrodes with different binders. The capacity fades rapidly for the conventional PVdF electrode. In the case of NaAlg electrode, a low capacity is obtained after the first cycle; in the subsequent cycles, the reversible capacity increases and reaches a stable value of 148 mAh g^{-1} after 20 cycles. However, the Na-CMC electrode exhibits a stable capacity up to 155 mAh g^{-1} and a coulombic efficiency >99% as well as an excellent cyclic performance just after few cycles, thus validating Na-CMC as a better binder for Li₄Ti₅O₁₂. Note that after optimization, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ outperforms all existing oxide-based anode materials for sodium-ion batteries (see Table 1). Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) studies on the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrode confirm an average potential of 0.91 V for sodium storage (Supplementary Fig. S2).

The Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ as an anode in a full cell was also demonstrated using Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃/C as cathode. Preliminary results show that the full cell gives rise to an average operating voltage plateau at \sim 2.4 V and appropriate rate and cyclic performance (Fig. 1d). The performance can be further enhanced by optimization of both electrodes and their weight ratio.

DFT simulations. DFT-based first-principles calculations were employed to understand the sodium insertion mechanism of wherein three Li⁺ ions occupy the 8*a* tetrahedral interstitial sites and the remaining one Li^+ and all Ti^{4+} ions reside in the 16d octahedral interstitial sites of cubic close-packed oxygen sublattice. Upon discharge in a Li-ion battery (half cell), three Li can be inserted into the 16c sites accompanied by pushing three Li+ ions from the 8a sites to the 16c sites^{35,36}, leading to Li4 transformation from the to phase the V^{8a}[Li₆]^{16c}[Ti₅Li]^{16d}O₁₂ (abbr. Li7) phase. The reaction could be represented as:

$$[\text{Li}_3]^{8a}\text{V}^{16c}[\text{Ti}_5\text{Li}]^{16d}\text{O}_{12} + 3\text{Li}^+ + 3e^{-}\underset{charge}{\overset{discharge}{\rightleftharpoons}}\text{V}^{8a}[\text{Li}_6]^{16c}[\text{Ti}_5\text{Li}]^{16d}\text{O}_{12}$$

This is a typical two-phase reaction and delivers a flat voltage plateau of 1.55 V versus Li⁺/Li. The lattice volume difference between the Li4 and Li7 phases is negligibly small. With structure model shown in Fig. 2a, above features concerning the lithiation process could be well reproduced by the DFT calculations (Supplementary Fig. S3). This agreement gives us confidence to investigate the subsequent sodium insertion process using the same method.

Inferring from the *ex situ* XRD results³², a new phase sharing the same cubic structure but with a larger lattice parameter emerges after the sodium insertion. Electrochemical measurements show that about three Na ions can be inserted into one formula unit of $\rm Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$, whereas elemental analysis of



Figure 1 | Electrochemical performance of $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ in sodium-ion batteries. The $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ electrode was cycled in NaFSI/EC:DEC electrolyte at a current rate of C/10. (a) Comparison of the initial discharge/charge curves of PVdF, NaAlg and Na-CMC electrodes. (b) Cyclic performances of PVdF, NaAlg and Na-CMC electrodes (inset is the 1st, 10th, 50th discharge/charge profiles of Na-CMC electrode). (c) Coulombic efficiencies versus cycle number of PVdF, NaAlg and Na-CMC electrodes. (d) Discharge profiles of $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}/Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3/C$ sodium full cell at various rates (inset is the cyclic performance of the full cell at 1C rate).

	Voltage (V versus Na+/Na)	Capacity (mAh g^{-1})	Coulombic efficiency (%)			
			Initial cycle	After cycling	Cycling	Safety*
Hard carbon (ref. 13)	1.2-0.1, 0.1-0	240	78-80	~99.5	Good	Poor
Amorphous TiO_2 (ref. 26)	2.5-0.9	70	~63	—	Moderate	Good
Na ₂ Ti ₃ O ₇ (ref. 24)	0.3	175	50-60	—	Moderate	Moderate
NiCo ₂ O ₄ (ref. 22)	3.0-0.01	200	~32	_	Poor	Moderate
Sb/C (ref. 29)	0-2	610	85	99	Good	Moderate
Sb ₂ O ₄ (ref. 25)	0.9-0.5, 0.4, 0.17-0.01	896	~100	_	Moderate	Poor
Pb (ref. 21)	~0.6	453	~52	_	Poor	Poor
SnSb (ref. 28)	1.2-0	544	75	98.3	Moderate	Moderate
Na ₂ C ₈ H ₄ O ₄ (ref. 27)	0.43	250	60	99.5	Good	Moderate
$Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ (this work)	0.91	155	81	~99.5	Good	Good

the electrolyte and the counter electrode indicates that no lithium is released after cycling. As Na⁺ ion is too large to occupy the 8*a* tetrahedral site, it is more likely to occupy the 16*c* octahedral site after being inserted into Li4. Thus, the sodium insertion process is expected to be analogous to the lithium insertion process. Supposing Li at 16*d* site is fixed during the electrochemical process, formation of V^{8*a*}[Na_xLi_{6-x}]^{16*c*}[Ti₅Li]^{16d}O₁₂ (0 ≤ *x* ≤ 6) is expected. To study the Li/Na distribution in 16*c* octahedral site, the formation energy of V^{8*a*}[Na_xLi_{6-x}]^{16*c*}[Ti₅Li]^{16*d*}O₁₂ with respect to its two end members, V^{8*a*}[Li₆]^{16*c*}[Ti₅Li]^{16*d*}O₁₂ and

 $V^{8a}[Na_6]^{16c}[Ti_5Li]^{16d}O_{12}$ (abbr. Na6Li), are calculated. Results shown in Fig. 2b indicate that the Li/Na solid solution at 16c sites is not energetically favourable, which suggests a phase separation of Li7 and Na6Li. Conversely, if we hypothesize that the solid solution reaction dominates the discharge/charge process, the resulting XRD pattern will shift gradually at different discharge/ charge states, as the computational results show that the lattice parameters of $V^{8a}[Na_x Li_{6-x}]^{16c}[Ti_5Li]^{16d}O_{12}$ ($0 \le x \le 6$) obey Vegard's law (Fig. 2c). Actually, the diffraction peaks do not shift significantly from the *ex situ* XRD patterns, thus invalidating the



Figure 2 | DFT calculations. (a) Schematic illustration of the structure model adopted in structure optimization. $Li^{16d}O_6$ and $Ti^{16d}O_6$ octahedra are plotted in blue and yellow, respectively. (b) Calculated formation energy $E_f = E(Li_{7-x}Na_xTi_5O_{12}) - \frac{x}{6}E(Li_7Ti_5O_{12}) - \frac{1-x}{6}E(Na_6LiTi_5O_{12})$ and Gibbs free energy (taking into account of the configurational entropies given a completely random Li/Na distribution at 16d site, $S_{conf} = k_b[\frac{x}{6}\ln(\frac{x}{6}) + \frac{1-x}{6}\ln(\frac{1-x}{6})]$) of $Li_{7-x}Na_xTi_5O_{12}$, positive (negative) value indicates thermodynamically driven Na-Li segregation (mixing) at 16c sites. (c) Optimized lattice parameters of $Li_{7-x}Na_xTi_5O_{12}$. Optimized hopping barriers of Li and Na ions in $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ (d), $Li_7Ti_5O_{12}$ (e) and $Na_6LiTi_5O_{12}$ (f). For $Na_6LiTi_5O_{12}$, ΔE_b is defined as $\Delta E_b = E_b(Li^{16d} \rightarrow Li^{16c}) - E_b(Li^{16d})$.

solid solution reaction as a proper explanation for the sodium insertion process.

Accordingly, we predict the following three-phase separation mechanism for the sodium insertion process (in a half cell):

$$\begin{split} & 2[\text{Li}_3]^{8a} \text{V}^{16c}[\text{Ti}_5\text{Li}]^{16d}\text{O}_{12} + 6\text{Na}^+ + 6\text{e}^{-} \mathop{\substack{\text{discharge} \\ \text{charge}}}^{\text{discharge}} \text{V}^{8a}[\text{Li}_6]^{16c}[\text{Ti}_5\text{Li}]^{16d} \\ & \text{O}_{12} + \text{V}^{8a}[\text{Na}_6]^{16c}[\text{Ti}_5\text{Li}]^{16d}\text{O}_{12} \end{split}$$

Above equation suggests that two new phases, that is, Na6Li and Li7, are created after the Na is inserted into the Li4 phase, which is consistent to the Rietveld refinement result of XRD pattern for the chemically sodiated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ (Supplementary Fig. S4). According to the three-phase separation mechanism, both the calculated storage voltage (0.88 V) and lattice volume expansion (13%) agree well with the experimental values (0.91 V and 12.5%, respectively). Kinetic properties of this reaction are assessed by evaluating the hopping barriers of both Li+ and Na+ ions (Fig. 2d-f), leading to the following results. (1) The aforementioned assumption that Li^{16d} ions are nearly fixed in the lattice is confirmed here. In Li4 phase, the Li^{16d} displaced from the 16d site will restore the original position after relaxation and therefore not presented here; in Li7 phase, the hopping barrier of Li^{16d} is much higher than that of Li^{16c}, indicating a much lower migration probability; in Na6Li phase, even though the energy barrier of Li^+ migration from 16d to a 16c site is comparable to that of Na^+ migration, the reverse migration ($\text{Li}^{16c} \rightarrow \text{Li}^{16d}$) has a much lower barrier (by 0.65 eV) and thus its hopping possibility exceeds that of the $Li^{16d} \rightarrow Li^{16c}$ direction by ten orders of magnitude at room temperature, making the Li^{16d} migration practically impossible. (2) Kinetics of Na⁺ ion is much slower than that of Li⁺ ion. As the hopping barrier of Li⁺ ion is much lower than that of Na⁺ ion, a much higher migration probability of the former is expected, viz. Li⁺ ion

will relax to their ground state following the movement of Na^+ ion instantaneously, as the latter diffuses much slower than the former.

Three-phase separation mechanism. On the basis of the above analysis, we may describe the sodium insertion/extraction process in a single $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ particle as follows: upon discharge, Na⁺ ions will occupy 16c sites exclusively to form Na6Li phase and at the same time, Li^{8a} ions are pushed to the nearest neighbour Li4 phase forming Li7 phase, which is equivalent to a lithium insertion process. In this manner two new phases, Na6Li and Li7, are created (Fig. 3a). As the discharge continues, taking no account of Na6Li, new nucleation of sodium insertion will proceed on the Na6Li/Li7 boundary, resulting in the transformation of Li7 into Na6Li phase and thus pushing this boundary forward. Meanwhile, the Li^{16c} ions from the initial Li7 phase will diffuse into the nearby Li4 phase to form Li7 phase, and thus the Li7/Li4 boundary proceeds. Ideally, all of the Li4 phase would be exhausted at the end of the discharge, leaving coexistence of Li7 and Na6Li phases with equivalent amount. In the charging process (Fig. 3b), Na⁺ ions are extracted from the Na6Li/Li4 boundary leaving vacancies at both 8a and 16c sites, and then the Li ions, driven by the thermodynamic force, will fill the 8a sites to form Li4 phase, until the end of charge. Compared with the conventional two-phase lithiation mechanism of Li4Ti5O12 electrode (Fig. 3c,d), coexistence of three phases with two kinds of phaseboundaries features the sodium insertion/extraction process.

Hypothesizing that the thermodynamic equilibrium could always be achieved during discharge/charge, the resulting voltage profile should be a plateau, which is a characteristic of the phase separation reaction. However, the plateau feature might be penalized by the slow reaction kinetics. This phenomenon could be seen in high rate discharge/charge in other phase separation materials, for example, LiFePO₄ (ref. 37). Through DFT simulations we have shown that the transport kinetics of Na⁺ ion is slow in this system and, therefore, the actual reaction path might deviate from thermodynamic equilibrium state, resulting in the sloped discharge/charge curve. Actually, the equilibrium state is not achieved even after a relaxation time of 12 h in our GITT measurement (Supplementary Fig. S2), further indicating that the electrochemical process of $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ electrode cycled in a Na-ion battery system is kinetically controlled.

In situ synchrotron XRD. The electrochemical sodium insertion/ extraction process is also investigated via *in situ* synchrotron XRD. We can see from Fig. 4 that a new set of peaks corresponding to Na6Li phase appears upon discharge and disappears in the following charge process, which characterizes a phase separation reaction instead of solid solution reaction. However, the new phase delays regarding to the discharging process: diffraction peaks corresponding to the Na6Li phase do not appear till the end of the discharge, and its intensity reach a maximum during the subsequent charging process. Analogous phenomenon has also been reported in the LiFePO₄ cathode material for Li-ion batteries (refs 38,39). Under a phase separation mechanism, the insertion process is accompanied by the nucleation and growth of the new phases, while the XRD measurement as a diffraction technique can only probe the new



Figure 3 | Comparison between sodiation and lithiation processes in $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$. Discharging (a) and charging (b) processes in a sodium-ion battery. Discharging (c) and charging (d) processes in a lithium-ion battery. $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ (Li4), $Li_7Ti_5O_{12}$ (Li7) and $Na_6LiTi_5O_{12}$ (Na6Li) phases are represented by light blue, blue and red colours, respectively. Directions of phase boundary movement are marked by black arrows.



Figure 4 | *In situ* synchrotron XRD patterns. The data were recorded during the first cycle for the Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrode in a sodium-ion battery system. For the Li4/Li7 phases, the main peaks correspond to (111), (311) and (400) reflections. These regions are highlighted in the right column and peaks corresponding to the Na6Li phase are marked by black dotted lines.

phases larger than a certain domain size. Owing to the slow Na⁺ ion kinetics, such a delay is not unexpected.

Although the leading feature of the in situ synchrotron XRD patterns indicates a phase separation reaction, a slight peak shift suggests a solid solution reaction at the beginning of discharge. It is found that the peaks corresponding to Li4/Li7 phase shift towards lower angle region at discharge and then shift backward upon charging (Supplementary Fig. S5). It has been reported that the representative phase-separation electrode materials for Li-ion batteries, for example, Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ (ref. 40) and LiFePO₄ (ref. 41), also have solid solution regions nearby the stoichiometric end members. The solid solution phase in three-phase reaction could be more complex than its counterpart in the two-phase reaction, as there is one more degree of freedom. In general, the solid solution could be written as $Li_{3+\alpha}Na_{\beta}[LiTi_{5}]O_{12}$ (close to the Li4 phase), $\text{Li}_{6-\alpha-\beta}\text{Na}_{\alpha}V_{\beta}[\text{LiTi}_{5}]O_{12}$ (close to the Li7 phase), $\text{Na}_{6-\alpha-\beta}\text{Li}_{\alpha}V_{\beta}[\text{LiTi}_{5}]O_{12}$ (close to the Na6Li phase). Nonetheless, the solid solution is entropy driven and we do not think it is predominant at room temperature.

STEM imaging. To further confirm the three-phase sodium insertion mechanism, spherical aberration-corrected STEM⁴² were employed to obtain a direct vision of the atomic structure. Recently, the STEM technique has been proved to be powerful in providing comprehensive information of electrode materials for Li-ion batteries at the atomic scale⁴³. Within this technology, the contrast of the annular-bright-field (ABF) image exhibits a $Z^{1/3}$ dependency in contrast to the $Z^{1.7}$ dependency for high-angle annular-dark-field (HAADF) imaging, where Z represents the atomic number. Consequently, the light elements, for example, H and Li, which are almost indiscernible in the HAADF approach, can be indentified with the ABF method⁴⁴.

For Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, [110] projection is most suitable for observation, because separated columns of Li, O and Ti ions (neglecting the randomly distributed Li^{16d}) are aligned in this direction (Fig. 5a). As Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ and Li₇Ti₅O₁₂ share an almost identical $[Ti_5Li]^{16d}O_{12}$ host, they are nearly indistinguishable in HAADF images because of no contrast of Li columns (Fig. 5b,e). However, in the ABF image, the Li contrasts can be identified in 8*a* (Fig. 5c) and 16*c* sites (Fig. 5f)



Figure 5 | STEM imaging of a three-phase coexistence region. (a) Crystal structure of spinel $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ viewed from the [110] crystallographic direction showing separated Ti and O columns. Ti1 and Ti2 columns with different atom densities are represented by balls of different size. HAADF (b), ABF (c) images and ABF line profile (d) of $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ (Li4) phase. Scale bar, 1nm. HAADF (e), ABF (f) images and ABF line profile (g) of $Li_7Ti_5O_{12}$ (Li7) phase. Scale bar, 1nm. HAADF (h), ABF (i) images and ABF line profile (j) of $Na_6LiTi_5O_{12}$ (Na6Li) phase. Scale bar, 1nm. (k) ABF image in the half electrochemically sodiated $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ nano-particle. In ABF line profile, the contrast is inverted for a convenient visualization. Scale bar, 2 nm. (l,m) Line profiles crossing the Li7/Li4 (line A) and Li7/Na6Li (line B) boundaries, respectively.

for $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ and the $\text{Li}_7\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$, respectively. The corresponding line profiles give a much clearer picture for the Li-ion position (Fig. 5d,g).

In the HAADF images of the electrochemically sodiated $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$, a significant contrast is observed at 16*c* site (Fig. 5h), which is distinguished from both Li4 and Li7 phases. That means atoms with large *Z* emerged at 16*c* sites after the sodium insertion, and hence it is reasonably attributed to the formation of Na6Li phase. Above experimental observations agree well with the simulated STEM images (Supplementary Fig. S6).

In the ABF images of Na6Li phase (Fig. 5i), the contrast gap between different atomic columns is not so large as in Li7 and Li4 phases, as shown in the line profile (Fig. 5j). Accordingly, we find a three-phase coexistence region in the half-discharged sample (Fig. 5k), with distinguishable Li7/Li4 and Li7/Na6Li phase boundaries (Fig. 5l,m). Determining the interfacial structure is critical for understanding the ionic transport and movement of phase boundary in a typical phase transition reaction. To the best of our knowledge, little attention has been paid to the interfacial issues in atomic scale in a battery system. Very recently, we observed an ordered interface with staging structure between LiFePO₄ and FePO₄ phases in a partially chemically delithiated Nb-doped LiFePO₄ sample⁴⁵. Here this is the first time to visualize clearly the interface at atomic scale for a phase transition reaction in an electrochemically inserted sample, which nearly reflects the real situation occurred in a battery. It can be seen that the two-phase boundaries of Li7/Li4 and Li7/Na6Li are sharp and dislocation free (Fig. 5l,m), which is consistent with our previous observation in a chemically lithiated Li4Ti5O12 (ref. 46). In the fully discharged samples, coexistence of the two final phases Li7 and Na6Li are also identified with sharp phase boundary between them (Fig. 6). These results agree well with our theoretical prediction and hence make strong evidence for the threephase separation mechanism. Compared with the straight line in lithiated Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, nevertheless, we note that the arrangement of the atomic columns is not that regular (Supplementary Fig. S7). This could be ascribed to the coherency strain resulted from the lattice mismatch between Li4/Li7 and Na6Li phases.

In addition, we study the elemental distribution of the electrode material at the 5th cycle using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)-STEM mapping. As can be seen in Fig. 7a–d, both oxygen (red) and titanium (green) elements were distributed uniformly in the sodium-inserted $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ nano-particles. In contrast, the sodium element (yellow) was unevenly distributed. This is consistent with the three-phase separation scenario, according to which the Na-containing phase could occupy up to half of the whole particle at the end of discharge. The elemental distribution of the recharged particle is illustrated in Fig. 7e–h, from which we can see almost no signal of sodium element, indicating that the Na ions are fully extracted from the electrode after charging.

Discussion

As already discussed above, by combining first-principles calculations with advanced spherical aberration-corrected STEM, we have confirmed a novel three-phase separation mechanism discussed here for sodium storage in Li₄Ti₅O₁₂. With the insertion of Na into Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, Na⁺ ion will occupy the vacancy of 16*c* site in Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ and simultaneously Li⁺ ion at 8*a* site will be, similar to Li insertion, driven into 16*c* site due to the coulombic repulsion between occupied 8*a* and 16*c* sites, forming V^{8*a*}[Li_xNa_{6-x}]^{16*c*}[LiTi₅]^{16*d*}O₁₂. However, owing to the different size of Li⁺ and Na⁺ ions, V^{8*a*}[Li_xNa_{6-x}]^{16*c*}[LiTi₅]^{16*d*}O₁₂ will separate into two rock-salt phases of V^{8*a*}[Na₆]^{16*c*}[LiTi₅]^{16*d*}O₁₂ and well-known V^{8*a*}[Li₆]^{16*c*}[LiTi₅]^{16*d*}O₁₂. This three-phase reaction, where two guest ions (*viz*. Li⁺ and Na⁺) of different size and mobility occupy the same crystallographic sites of LiTi₅]^{16*d*}O₁₂ host, is proved to be reversible (Supplementary



Figure 6 | STEM images of fully discharged $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$. HAADF (a) and ABF (b) images. Scale bar, 2 nm. Line profiles of HAADF (c) and ABF (d), where 16c sites are labelled by black dash lines. In ABF line profile, the contrast is inverted for a convenient visualization.

Fig. S8). Even though the formation of Na6Li phase leads to a lattice volume expansion of 12.5%, we found that the excellent performance in terms of cyclic property and coulombic efficiency was achieved with the appropriate binder. This may also be rationalized by the fact that the macroscopic volume expansion is only half of the volume expansion of Na6Li lattice, namely 6.3%, as the discharged product consists of Li7 and Na6Li phases with equivalent amount, which is comparable to LiFePO₄ (6.8%), making Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ viable for reversible sodium storage. Although DFT calculations show that the Na⁺ ion diffusion kinetics in spinel [LiTi₅]^{16d}O₁₂ host is slow (note that this is not always the situation, a much better Na⁺ ion diffusion kinetics in other structures, for example, layered Na_xMO_2 (ref. 6), β -Al₂O₃ (ref. 47), NASICON (refs 7,48), has been demonstrated.), our full cell exhibits a moderate rate performance. This can be attributed to the shorter diffusion length and large electrodeelectrolyte contact area of the used Li4Ti5O12 nano-particles.

It is generally believed that the Na⁺ ions are too large to fit in a tetrahedral site of spinel host, and therefore the spinel type electrode for sodium-ion batteries are rarely investigated



Figure 7 | **EDX-STEM mapping of Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ nano-particles.** (a) STEM image of a $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ nano-particle at the 5th discharged state; **b**, **c** and **d** are the corresponding elemental mapping of the O, Ti and Na elements, respectively. Scale bar, 100 nm. (e) STEM image of a $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ nano-particle at the 5th charged state; **f**, **g** and **h** are the corresponding elemental mapping of the O, Ti and Na elemental mapping of the O,

(refs 6,20), although we have demonstrated here for the first time that it is possible that the Na⁺ ions can be reversibly introduced into the octahedral sites of the spinel host (specifically refers to [Ti₅Li]^{16d}O₁₂ in this case) at room temperature. This finding will provide new perspectives in the search of appropriate electrode for room-temperature materials sodium-ion batteries. Furthermore, for the insertion electrode materials in lithium- or sodium-ion batteries, a solid solution reaction (for example, layered LiNi1/3Co1/3Mn1/3O2) or two-phase separation reaction (for example, olivine LiFePO₄) is always expected. However, to the best of our knowledge, this new three-phase separation mechanism of sodium storage in Li4Ti5O12 has never been seen in the existing insertion electrode materials. Therefore, in addition to the promising applications of this new sodium storage anode material, our findings also enrich the insertion chemistry of electrode reaction and provide new perspective in understanding the electrochemical process in a battery system. Moreover, the obtained result is undoubtedly helpful in understanding the Li storage mechanism in Li₄Ti₅O₁₂.

Methods

Sample preparation. The porous $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ sample was prepared by using a spray drying method as reported elsewhere⁴⁹. The particle size was of several micrometres with about 50 nm-sized grain agglomeration together. The salt $Na[N(SO_2F)_2]$ (NaFSI) was used as received and the solvents of ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) were purchased from the company of Guo Tai Hua Rong (China). The used electrolyte was 1 M NaFSI in EC:DEC (4:6 in volume). All the electrolytes were used until the water concentration was below 10 p.p.m. by removing water using the molecular sieve.

Electrochemical measurements. The working electrode was prepared by spreading the slurry of the active materials (80 wt.%), acetylene black (10 wt.%) and binder (10 wt.%) on Cu foil. For the PVdF binder, the solvent was N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) for the NaAlg and Na-CMC binder, the distilled water was used as the solvent. The electrode was dried at 100 °C in vacuum for 10 h before use. The Swagelok-type cells were assembled with pure sodium foil as the counter electrode, and a glass fibre as the separator in an argon-filled glove box. The discharge/charge measurements were carried out on a Land BT2000 battery test system (Wuhan, China) at a current rate of C/10 under room temperature (C/10 refers to three Na insertion into Li_1Ti₅O₁₂ per formula unit in 10 h). The GITT experiment was performed between 3.0–0.5 V for lithium titanate electrode in the initial cycle by applying a current corresponding to a C/10 in intervals of 30 min, separated by a rest period of 12 h.

Construction of a sodium-ion full cell. A sodium-ion full cell was constructed using Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ as the anode and Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃/C as the cathode in a 2032 cointype cell. The Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ anode was prepared as shown in the above part, and the Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃/C cathode was prepared according to our previous report¹⁸. The full cell was limited by the anode, in which the weight ratio (anode/cathode) of the two electrodes was 1:1.78. The electrolyte solution was 1 M NaFSI in EC:DEC (4:6 in volume). The full cells were charged and discharged between the voltage range of 1.5–3.0 V at various C-rates (C/10 current rate corresponds to 17.5 mA g⁻¹). Supplementary Fig. S9 shows the first charge/discharge profile of the full cell at a current rate of C/10.

Chemical sodiation. The Li4Ti5O12 powder (ca. 50 nm) was chemically sodiated by chemical reduction with sodium-biphenyl-1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) solution as sodiating reagent. In a typical process, 87 mg pure sodium was dissolved into 10 ml colourless 1 M biphenyl-DME solution, forming a dark-green organic solution as the sodiating reagent. Then, 573 mg Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ powder was immersed into this solution (corresponding to 6 mol Na per 2 mol Li₄Ti₅O₁₂) and stirred until the colour of the solution fade completely to obtain the sodiated products. The products were washed by DME several times, and dried in the vacuum for overnight. The final sample was covered by the 3511 Kapton film and sealed by 502 glue for the XRD measurement. All the operations were carefully performed in the Ar-filled glove box. The sodiated product was also analysed by using the inductively coupled plasma (ICP). The ICP result indicates the molar ratio between Li and Na is 1.33, which is close to a molar ratio of 1:1 between Li7 and Na6Li phases. XRD refinement was performed with the Rietveld method as implemented in the Full-Prof software suite⁵⁰ and the results show a ratio of 55.5:44.5 between Li4/Li7 and Na6Li phases. This discrepancy might be attributed to the fact that the XRD measurement as a diffraction technique could only detect the Na6Li phase reaching a certain nucleus size.

ICP experiments. To check whether Li would be removed from $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ during the charge process, we carried out the ICP analysis on the electrolyte and the counter electrode from half cells after the cycling. The electrolyte and the passivated film on the sodium foil surface were taken from the half cell for the $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ electrode at both the charged and discharged state after 10 cycles. Then, the electrolyte and the passivated film scraped from the sodium foil surface were dissolved in water for ICP measurements. Results show that no Li could be detected in either electrolyte or the passivated film on sodium foil, indicating that the Li in the discharge product of $Na_6LiTi_5O_{12}$ and $Li_7Ti_5O_{12}$ was neither released into the electrolyte nor deposited on the counter electrode of sodium foil during the charge process.

DFT calculations. Spin-polarized calculations were performed using the Vienna *Ab Initio* Simulation Package^{51,52} within the projector augmented-wave approach⁵³. Generalized gradient approximation in the parameterization of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof⁵⁴ was used to describe the exchange–correlation potential. The cutoff of the kinetic energy was set to 600 eV for all calculations. Geometry optimization was performed using a $la \times 3b \times 1c$ supercell and considered converged when the force

on each atom was <1 meV A°⁻¹. In the model construction of Li_{7-x}Na_xTi₅O₁₂, different Li–Na distributions were considered. Activation barrier calculations were performed with the climbing-image nudged elastic band method⁵⁵ in a large $2a \times 3b \times 2c$ supercell to minimize the interaction between the periodic images. The Brillouin zone integration was performed with $3 \times 1 \times 3$ and $1 \times 1 \times 1$ Γ -centred Monkhorst-Pack k-point meshes in geometry optimization and climbing-image nudged elastic band calculations, respectively.

STEM imaging. STEM was performed using a JEOL 2100F (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) transmission electron microscope operated at 200 keV. The microscope was equipped with a CEOS (CEOS, Heidelberg, Germany) probe aberration corrector. The attainable spatial resolution of the microscope is 90 pm at the incident semiangle of 20 mrad. To observe Li directly using ABF collection geometry, the acceptance semiangle in this study was fixed between 10 and 20 mrad. The simulated STEM images were performed with uniform parameters, which include an accelerating voltage of 200 keV, beam direction along [110] with the specimen thickness of 50 nm (actually, the simulation with thickness range from 30 to 60 nm exhibits no qualitative difference), incident semiangle of 20 mrad, aceptance semiangle of 10–20 mrad, *Cs* value of 0.01 nm and a defocus of – 2 nm. The image simulation was performed based on an fast-Fourier-transform multislice approach for the STEM configuration; explicit algorithm was described in detail in ref. 56.

EDX-STEM mapping. EDX-STEM mapping was performed for the $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ electrodes at both discharged and charged states in the initial cycle by a Tecnai F20 filed emission transmission electron microscope operated at 200 keV. The Ti-K, Na-K and O-K edges were used to collect chemical information of individual elements.

In situ synchrotron XRD. The *in situ* synchrotron XRD data for sodium storage into Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ were collected at Beamline BL148 ($\lambda = 0.12398$ nm) of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, using an image plate detector in the transmission mode. Mylar film was used as window to allow the penetration of synchrotron beam in the present *in situ* cell. The cell was assembled with Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ electrode as the working electrode, sodium plate as the counter electrode, 1 M NaFSI/EC:DEC as the electrolyte and Walkman glass fibre as the separator in an argon-filled glove box. The discharge/charge of *in situ* cell was carried on a Land BT2000 battery test system (Wuhan, China) in a voltage range of 0.5–3.0 V at a current rate of C/10.

References

- Armand, M. & Tarascon, J. M. Building better batteries. *Nature* 451, 652–657 (2008).
- Ellis, B. L., Makahnouk, W. R. M., Makimura, Y., Toghill, K. & Nazar, L. F. A multifunctional 3.5V iron-based phosphate cathode for rechargeable batteries. *Nat. Mater.* 6, 749–753 (2007).
- 3. Tarascon, J. M. Is lithium the new gold? Nat. Chem. 2, 510-510 (2010).
- Lu, Y., Wang, L., Cheng, J. & Goodenough, J. B. Prussian blue: a new framework of electrode materials for sodium batteries. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 6544–6546 (2012).
- Chevrier, V. L. & Ceder, G. Challenges for Na-ion negative electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 158, A1011–A1014 (2011).
- Ong, S. P. *et al.* Voltage, stability and diffusion barrier differences between sodium-ion and lithium-ion intercalation materials. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 4, 3680–3688 (2011).
- Hayashi, A., Noi, K., Sakuda, A. & Tatsumisago, M. Superionic glass-ceramic electrolytes for room-temperature rechargeable sodium batteries. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 856 (2012).
- Delmas, C., Braconnier, J. J., Fouassier, C. & Hagenmuller, P. Electrochemical intercalation of sodium in NaxCoO2 bronzes. *Solid State Ionics* 3-4, 165–169 (1981).
- 9. Berthelot, R., Carlier, D. & Delmas, C. Electrochemical investigation of the P2-NaxCoO2 phase diagram. *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 74–80 (2011).
- Komaba, S., Takei, C., Nakayama, T., Ogata, A. & Yabuuchi, N. Electrochemical intercalation activity of layered NaCrO2 versus LiCrO2. *Electrochem. Commun.* 12, 355–358 (2010).
- Ding, J.-J., Zhou, Y.-N., Sun, Q. & Fu, Z.-W. Cycle performance improvement of NaCrO2 cathode by carbon coating for sodium ion batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* 22, 85–88 (2012).
- Cao, Y. L. et al. Reversible sodium ion insertion in single crystalline manganese oxide nanowires with long cycle life. Adv. Mater. 23, 3155–3160 (2011).
- Komaba, S. *et al.* Electrochemical Na insertion and solid electrolyte interphase for hard-carbon electrodes and application to Na-ion batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 21, 3859–3867 (2011).
- 14. Kim, D. et al. Layered Na[Ni1/3Fe1/3Mn1/3]O2 cathodes for Na-ion battery application. Electrochem. Commun. 18, 66–69 (2012).
- Carlier, D. *et al.* The P2-Na2/3Co2/3Mn1/3O2 phase: structure, physical properties and electrochemical behavior as positive electrode in sodium battery. *Dalton. T.* 40, 9306–9312 (2011).

- Yabuuchi, N. et al. P2-type Nax[Fe1/2Mn1/2]O2 made from earth-abundant elements for rechargeable Na batteries. Nat. Mater. 11, 512–517 (2012).
- 17. Kim, D. et al. Enabling sodium batteries using lithium-substituted sodium layered transition metal oxide cathodes. Adv. Energy Mater. 1, 333-336 (2011).
- Jian, Z. L. et al. Carbon coated Na3V2(PO4)3 as novel electrode material for sodium ion batteries. Electrochem. Commun. 14, 86–89 (2012).
- Jian, Z. *et al.* Superior electrochemical performance and storage mechanism of Na3V2(PO4)3 cathode for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 3, 156–160 (2012).
- Slater, M. D., Kim, D., Lee, E. & Johnson, C. S. Sodium-ion batteries. Adv. Funct. Mater. 23, 947–958 (2013).
- Jow, T. R., Shacklette, L. W., Maxfield, M. & Vernick, D. The role of conductive polymers in alkali-metal secondary electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 134, 1730–1733 (1987).
- Alcántara, R., Jaraba, M., Lavela, P. & Tirado, J. L. NiCo2O4 spinel: first report on a transition metal oxide for the negative electrode of sodium-ion batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 14, 2847–2848 (2002).
- Stevens, D. A. & Dahn, J. R. High capacity anode materials for rechargeable sodium-ion batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 147, 1271–1273 (2000).
- Senguttuvan, P., Rousse, G., Seznec, V., Tarascon, J. M. & Palacin, M. R. Na2Ti3O7: lowest voltage ever reported oxide insertion electrode for sodium ion batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 23, 4109–4111 (2011).
- Sun, Q., Ren, Q. Q., Li, H. & Fu, Z. W. High capacity Sb2O4 thin film electrodes for rechargeable sodium battery. *Electrochem. Commun.* 13, 1462–1464 (2011).
- Xiong, H., Slater, M. D., Balasubramanian, M., Johnson, C. S. & Rajh, T. Amorphous TiO2 nanotube anode for rechargeable sodium ion batteries. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2, 2560–2565 (2011).
- Zhao, L. *et al.* Disodium terephthalate (Na2C8H4O4) as high performance anode material for low-cost room-temperature sodium-ion battery. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2, 962–965 (2012).
- Xiao, L. F. *et al.* High capacity, reversible alloying reactions in SnSb/C nanocomposites for Na-ion battery applications. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 3321–3323 (2012).
- Qian, J. et al. High capacity Na-storage and superior cyclability of nanocomposite Sb/C anode for Na-ion batteries. Chem. Commun. 48, 7070–7072 (2012).
- Ferg, E., Gummow, R. J., Dekock, A. & Thackeray, M. M. Spinel anodes for lithium-ion batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 141, L147–L150 (1994).
- Ohzuku, T., Ueda, A. & Yamamoto, N. Zero-strain insertion material of Li [Li1/ 3Ti5/3]O4 for rechargeable lithium cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 142, 1431–1435 (1995).
- 32. Zhao, L., Pan, H. L., Hu, Y. S., Li, H. & Chen, L. Q. Spinel lithium titanate (Li4Ti5O12) as novel anode material for room-temperature sodium-ion battery. *Chin. Phys. B* **21**, 028201 (2012).
- Kovalenko, I. *et al.* A major constituent of brown algae for use in high-capacity Li-ion batteries. *Science* 333, 75–79 (2011).
- Drofenik, J. et al. Cellulose as a binding material in graphitic anodes for Li ion batteries: a performance and degradation study. *Electrochim. Acta* 48, 883–889 (2003).
- 35. Wilkening, M. *et al.* Microscopic Li self-diffusion parameters in the lithiated anode material Li4+xTi5O12 (0 < = x < = 3) measured by 7Li solid state NMR. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **9**, 6199–6202 (2007).
- 36. Wagemaker, M., van Eck, E. R. H., Kentgens, A. P. M. & Mulder, F. M. Li-ion diffusion in the equilibrium nanomorphology of spinel Li4 + xTi5O12. J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 224–230 (2009).
- Ellis, B. L., Lee, K. T. & Nazar, L. F. Positive electrode materials for Li-ion and Li-batteries. *Chem. Mater.* 22, 691–714 (2010).
- Chang, H. H. et al. Study on dynamics of structural transformation during charge/ discharge of LiFePO4 cathode. Electrochem. Commun. 10, 335–339 (2008).
- Wang, X. J. *et al.* Investigation of the structural changes in Li1-xFePO4 upon charging by synchrotron radiation techniques. *J. Mater. Chem.* 21, 11406–11411 (2011).
- 40. Wagemaker, M. *et al.* A kinetic two-phase and equilibrium solid solution in spinel Li4 + xTi5O12. *Adv. Mater.* **18**, 3169–3173 (2006).
- 41. Yamada, A. *et al.* Room-temperature miscibility gap in LixFePO4. *Nat. Mater.* 5, 357–360 (2006).
- Pennycook, S. J. & Jesson, D. E. High-resolution incoherent imaging of crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64, 938–941 (1990).
- Huang, R. & Ikuhara, Y. STEM characterization for lithium-ion battery cathode materials. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* 16, 31–38 (2012).
- Findlay, S. D. et al. Robust atomic resolution imaging of light elements using scanning transmission electron microscopy. Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 191913 (2009).
- 45. Suo, L. et al. Highly ordered staging structural interface between LiFePO4 and FePO4. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14, 5363–5367 (2012).
- 46. Lu, X. et al. Lithium storage in Li4Ti5O12 spinel: the full static picture from electron microscopy. Adv. Mater. 24, 3233–3238 (2012).

ARTICLE

- Hooper, A. A study of the electrical properties of single-crystal and polycrystalline β-alumina using complex plane analysis. J. Phys. D-Appl. Phys. 10, 1487–1496 (1977).
- Bohnke, O., Ronchetti, S. & Mazza, D. Conductivity measurements on nasicon and nasicon-modified materials. *Solid State Ionics* 122, 127–136 (1999).
- Zhao, L., Hu, Y. S., Li, H., Wang, Z. X. & Chen, L. Q. Porous Li4Ti5O12 coated with N-doped carbon from ionic liquids for Li-Ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* 23, 1385–1388 (2011).
- Rodriguezcarvajal, J. Recent advances in magnetic-structure determination by neutron powder diffraction. *Physica B* 192, 55–69 (1993).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmuller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 11169–11186 (1996).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmuller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comp. Mater. Sci.* 6, 15–50 (1996).
- 53. Blochl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 50, 17953–17979 (1994).
- 54. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- Henkelman, G., Uberuaga, B. P. & Jonsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. J. Chem. Phys. 113, 9901–9904 (2000).
- Ishizuka, K. A practical approach for STEM image simulation based on the FFT multislice method. *Ultramicroscopy* 90, 71–83 (2002).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Wen Wen at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) BL14B1 and Prof Zhibin Zhou for providing the NaFSI salt. This work was supported by funding from the '863' Project (2009AA033101), '973' Projects (2009CB220104, 2012CB932900), NSFC (51222210, 11234013, 11174334), CAS project (KJCX2-YW-W26) and One Hundred Talent Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Author contributions

L.G., Y.S.H. and X.J.H. conceived and designed this work; Y.S. performed DFT calculations with X.J.H.; L.Z. optimized the electrochemical performance of $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ electrode with Y.S.H., M.A. and L.Q.C; H.L.P. performed the chemical sodiation, obtained the XRD patterns and ICP results, and constructed a full battery with Y.S.H., M.A. and L.Q.C; H.L.P. arried out the *in situ* and *ex situ* XRD with Y.S.H. and H.L.; L.Z. and H.L.P. prepared samples for STEM and STEM mapping observation; L.G. performed STEM observation and carried out the simulation with Y.I., Y.S., X.L., Y.S.H. L.Z., H.L.P., L.G., H.L. and Y.I. analysed data; Y.S., L.Z. and Y.S.H. wrote the paper; all the authors participated in analysis and discussions of the results and in preparing the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Sun, Y. *et al.* Direct Atomic-scale confirmation of three-phase storage mechanism in $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ Anodes for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1870 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2878 (2013).